
Equality Impact Assessment Template

The Council has revised and simplified its Equality Impact Assessment process (EqIA). There is now just one Template. Lead Officers will need to complete **Stages 1-3** to determine whether a full EqIA is required and the need to complete the whole template.



- In order to complete this assessment, it is important that you have read the Corporate Guidelines on EqIAs and preferably completed the EqIA E-learning Module.
- You are also encouraged to refer to the EqIA Template with Guidance Notes to assist you in completing this template.
- SIGN OFF: All EqIAs need to be signed off by your Directorate Equality Task Groups. EqIAs relating to Cabinet Reports need to be submitted to the EqIA Quality Assurance Group at least one month before your Cabinet Report date. This group meets on the first Monday of each month.
- Legal will NOT accept any reports without a fully completed, Quality Assured and signed off EqIA.

The EqIA Guidance, Template and sign off process is available on the Hub under Equality and Diversity

Equality Imr	oact Assessment (FaIA) Templat	٩					
Type of Decision: Tick ✓	Cabinet	Portfolio Holder	Other (expla	in) Cabinet if sha service entere CSB otherwise	ed into.			
Date decision to be taken:	January 2016							
Value of savings to be made (if applicable):	£290,000 cumulative in 2	2016/17 and 2017/18						
Title of Project:	Commercial & Procureme	ent Shared Services						
Directorate / Service responsible:	Resources & Commercial	- Commercial, Contra	acts & Procure	ment				
Name and job title of Lead Officer:	Terry Brewer – Divisional	l Director, Commercial	, Contracts &	Procurement				
Name & contact details of the other persons involved in the assessment:	Rob Bonneywell – x8209 – <u>rob.bonneywell@harrow.gov.uk</u>							
Date of assessment (including review dates):	16/11/15							
 Stage 1: Overview 1. What are you trying to do? (Explain your proposals here e.g. introduction of a new service or policy, policy review, changing criteria, reduction / removal of service, restructure, deletion of posts etc) 	Shared service with two other local authorities (Brent and Buckinghamshire) is being explored and a business case is being drafted. Harrow's Director of Commercial and Procurement is already the Head of Procurement at Brent and further team integration is being considered. Unless it is possible to share services with other councils it is anticipated that a further 8 posts will need to be deleted in the years up to 2018/19.							
	Residents / Service Users		✓	Stakeholders	✓			
2. Who are the main people / Protected Characteristics that may be affected by your proposals? (\checkmark all that apply)	Staff Gender Reassignment	✓ Age Marriage and Partnership	Civil	Disability Pregnancy and Maternity				
	Race	Religion or Be	lief	Sex				
	Sexual Orientation	Other						
3. Is the responsibility shared with another directorate, authority or organisation? If so:Who are the partners?	Overall responsibility for	r the savings delivery	v lies within (Commercial, Contrac	cts and			

- Who has the overall responsibility?
- How have they been involved in the assessment?

Procurement, however its implementation will require working in partnership with other directorates and services within the council.

Stage 2: Evidence & Data Analysis

4. What evidence is available to assess the potential impact of your proposals? This can include census data, borough profile, profile of service users, workforce profiles, results from consultations and the involvement tracker, customer satisfaction surveys, focus groups, research interviews, staff surveys, press reports, letters from residents and complaints etc. Where possible include data on the nine Protected Characteristics.

The following table has been taken from the Harrow Annual Equality in Employment Monitoring Report 2012/13 and current employee data records relating to the Procurement Team. Consequently, there may be variances between the data shown as different sets of base data have been referenced and compared for the purposes of the analysis.

		Resources Directorate 468 employees	Whole Council Workforce 5,125 employees	Harrow Community Data 2011 Census
	BAME	40.60%	36.08%	57.75%
Ethnicity	White	46.37%	52.08%	42.25%
	Unknown	13.03%	11.84%	0.00%
Sex	Male	25.85%	22.36%	49.40%
Sex	Female	74.15%	77.64%	50.60%
	Yes	2.14%	1.81%	16.40%
Disability	No	97.44%	93.66%	83.60%
	Unknown	0.43%	4.53%	0.00%
	16 to 24	1.50%	3.34%	11.7%
Age	25 to 34	21.37%	17.39%	30.4%
	35 to 44	27.35%	22.67%	30.4 %
	45 to 54	29.91%	32.76%	23.6%
	55 to 64	18.80%	21.15%	23.07
	65+	1.07%	2.69%	14.1%
	Unknown	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

		Resources Directorate 468 employees	Whole Council Workforce 5,125 employees	Harrow Community Data 2011 Census
	Christianity	8.12%	11.00%	37.30%
	Hinduism	3.42%	4.12%	25.30%
	Islam	1.28%	1.44%	12.50%
Dellaise	Judaism	0.21%	0.57%	4.40%
Religion or Belief	Jainism	0.21%	0.51%	No category
Bellet	Sikh	1.07%	0.39%	1.20%
	Buddhism	0.00%	0.20%	1.10%
	Zoroastrian	0.00%	0.02%	No category
	Other	0.43%	0.86%	2.50%
	No Religion/Atheist Unknown	2.78% 82.48%	2.09% 78.81%	9.60% 6.20%
	Heterosexual	8.55%	15.92%	
	Gay Woman/ Lesbian	0.00%	0.06%	
Sexual	Gay Man	0.00%	0.08%	
Orientation	Bi-sexual	0.21%	0.08%	No category
Onemation	Prefer not to say	0.00%	1.07%	
	Other	0.00%	0.04%	
	Unknown	91.03%	82.69%	
Pregnancy/ maternity in	Yes	6.62%	4.02%	No category
last 2 years?	No	93.38%	95.98%	No category
Same gender	Yes	99.57%	95.47%	
assigned at	No	0.00%	0.00%	No category
birth?	Unknown	0.43%	4.53%	

(Where you have gaps (da in your Improvement Action		Characteristic), you may need to include this as an action to address
Protected Characteristic	Evidence	Analysis & Impact
Age (including carers of young/older people)	See table above for statistical evidence	As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.
Disability (including carers of disabled people)	See table above for statistical evidence	As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.
Gender Reassignment	See table above for statistical evidence	As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.
Marriage / Civil Partnership	See table above for statistical evidence	As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.
Pregnancy and Maternity	See table above for statistical evidence	As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.
Race	See table above for statistical evidence	As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the

							cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.				
Religion and Beli		ee table above for statistical evidence					As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.				
Sex / Gender	S	ci ci g					As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.				
Sexual Orientatio		See table above for statistical evidence					As this programme of savings delivery is focused on the cutback of staff, this section is unlikely to apply. If consultations later determine a potential impact on this group an Initial EQIA will be completed where appropriate to do so.				
Stage 3: Asses	ssing Poter	tial Dispropo	rtionate Impact								
			ed so far, is there		ur proposa	als could	potentially	have a disprop	ortionate adv	verse impact	
on any of the Protected Characteristics?											
	Age (including carers)	Disability (including carers)	Gender Reassignment	Marriage and Civil Partnership	Pregnan Mater	-	Race	Religion and Belief	Sex	Sexual Orientation	
Yes											

 \checkmark

✓

✓

 \checkmark

✓

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

No

✓

 \checkmark

YES - If there is a risk of disproportionate adverse Impact on any **ONE** of the Protected Characteristics, continue with the rest of the template.

- **Best Practice:** You may want to consider setting up a Working Group (including colleagues, partners, stakeholders, voluntary community sector organisations, service users and Unions) to develop the rest of the EqIA
- It will be useful to also collate further evidence (additional data, consultation with the relevant communities, stakeholder groups and service users directly affected by your proposals) to further assess the potential disproportionate impact identified and how this can be mitigated.
- NO If you have ticked 'No' to all of the above, then go to Stage 6
- Although the assessment may not have identified potential disproportionate impact, you may have identified actions which can be taken to advance equality of opportunity to make your proposals more inclusive. These actions should form your Improvement Action Plan at Stage 6

Stage 4: Further Consultation / Additional Evidence

6. What further consultation have you undertaken on your proposals as a result of your analysis at Stage 3?

Who was consulted? What consultation methods were used?			used?	What do the results show about the impact on different groups / Protected Characteristics?	What actions have you taken to address the findings of the consultation? E.g. revising your proposals
Stage 5: Asse	ssing Imp	act			
7. What does yo	our evidenc	e tell you a	about the in	npact on the different Protected Characteristics? C	consider whether the evidence shows potential
for differential im	npact, if so	state whet	her this is a	a positive or an adverse impact? If adverse, is it a r	minor or major impact?
Protected	Positive Adverse Impact		e Impact	Explain what this impact is, how likely it is to happen and the extent of impact if it was to occur.	What measures can you take to mitigate the impact or advance equality of opportunity? E.g. further consultation, research, implement
Characteristic	✓	Minor ✓	Major ✓	Note – Positive impact can also be used to demonstrate how your proposals meet the aims of the PSED Stage 7	equality monitoring etc (Also Include these in the Improvement Action Plan at Stage 6)

Age (including carers of young/older people)			
Disability (including carers of disabled people)			
Gender Reassignment			
Marriage and Civil Partnership			
Pregnancy and Maternity			
Race			
Religion or Belief			

Sex								
Sexual orientation								
8. Cumulative	Impact –	Considering	g what else	e is happening within the	Yes		No	
Council and Harr impact on a part				osals have a cumulative				
If yes, which Pro	tected Cha	aracteristics	could be a	affected and what is the				
potential impact								
				is happening within the	Yes		No	
		•		ional/local policy,				
				community tensions, pact on individuals/service				
-	•	· ·		mmunity cohesion?				
If yes, what is th	e potentia	l impact an	d how likel	ly is it to happen?				
Stage 6 – Imp	rovemen	t Action P	lan					
List below any actions you plan to take as a result of this Impact Assessment. These should include:								
. Drenesels to mitigate any adverse impact identified								
=	 Proposals to mitigate any adverse impact identified Positive action to advance equality of opportunity 							
	 Monitoring the impact of the proposals/changes once they have been implemented 							
 Any monitoring measures which need to be introduced to ensure effective monitoring of your proposals? How often will you do this? 								

Area of potential adverse impact e.g. Race, Disability	Proposal to mitigate adverse impact	How will you know this has been achieved? E.g. Performance Measure / Target	Lead Officer/Team	Target Date		
All	Monitoring the impact of the proposals/changes once they have been implemented	As proposals are further refined and in particular business cases drafted for CSB and/or Cabinet, this EQIA should be reviewed to ensure the answers provided are still valid.	Terry Brewer, Divisional Director, Commercial, Contracts & Procurement	Ongoing		
Stage 7: Public Sec	ctor Equality Duty					
(PSED) which requires1. Eliminate unlawful and other conduct2. Advance equality of groups	posals meet the Public Sector Equality Duty s the Council to: discrimination, harassment and victimisation prohibited by the Equality Act 2010 of opportunity between people from different ons between people from different groups	The initiatives that deliver this approach are not likely to have any direct impact however if they do, will always seek to support the Council in eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation.				
Stage 8: Recomme	endation					
	hich of the following statements best describes					
Outcome 1 – No change required: the EqIA has not identified any potential for unlawful conduct or disproportionate impact and all opportunities to advance equality of opportunity are being addressed.						
Outcome 2 – Minor Impact: Minor adjustments to remove / mitigate adverse impact or advance equality of opportunity have been identified by the EqIA and these are listed in the Action Plan above.						
Outcome 3 – Major Impact: Continue with proposals despite having identified potential for adverse impact or missed opportunities to advance equality of opportunity. In this case, the justification needs to be included in the EqIA and should be in line with the PSED to have 'due regard'. In some cases, compelling reasons will be needed. You should also consider whether there are sufficient plans to reduce the adverse impact and/or plans to monitor the impact. (Explain this in Q12 below)						

12. If your EqIA is assessed as outcome 3 explain your justification with full reasoning to continue with your proposals.	
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Stage 9 - Organisational sign Off 13. Which group or committee considered, reviewed and agreed the EqIA and the Improvement Action Plan?			
Signed: (Lead officer completing EqIA)	Terry Brewer	Signed: (Chair of DETG)	
Date:	16/11/15	Date:	
Date EqIA presented at the EqIA Quality Assurance Group (if required)		Signature of DETG Chair	